

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,359	06/13/2005	Dirk Rottger	273059US0XPCT	5796	
OBLON SPIX	7590 02/09/201 YAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S	TREET	CHUNG, SUSANNAH LEE			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/09/2010	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,359	ROTTGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SUSANNAH CHUNG	1626		

	SUSANNAH CHUNG	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavitial (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26/a) and the appropriat	o ovtonoion foo
Detersions of time in gray be doublest of without 57 of the 1704(s), in the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	Jucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.]</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-6, 8, 11-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	10 1 01 1		
	/Susannah Chung/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 1, 4-6, 8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 USC 112 as not enabled.

The amendment to the claims are acknowledged, but are not found persuasive. The issue is the final product. In instant claim 1, the final product is "a metal complex." The term "a metal complex" appears twice in claim 1. It appears in line 1 on page 2 and line 10 of page 3. This is confusing because dependent claims refer to "the metal complex of claim 1," but we do not know which metal complex those claims are referring.

It is suggested that the second metal complex on page 3 be converted to "the metal complex" and the structure of formula (I) on page 3 be inserted after the appearance of the first metal complex in line 1 to correct the confusion.

In addition, the claims are not enabled for all metal complexes. To correct the enablement issue, the metal complex at it's first appearance in line 1 should be defined to those metal complexes that are enabled, i.e. the compound of formula (I).